

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,421 02/26/2004		Majed M. Hamawy	960296.99187	5432		
27114	7590 08/28/2006				EXAMINER	
QUARLES			ROONEY, NORA MAUREEN			
MILWAUK		VENUE, SUITE 204 3202-4497	40	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)					
	Office A 4' O	10/787,421	HAMAWY, MAJE	HAMAWY, MAJED M.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Nora M. Rooney	1644						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02/26	6/2004							
• =	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· —	☐ Claim(s) is are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers	1							
		_							
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine		ad to but the Francisco						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) 🔲 Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTo er:	O-152)					

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of monitoring whether an animal is experiencing a disease and/or adverse condition involving smooth muscle cell abnormalities wherein the disease is transplant rejection, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of monitoring whether an animal is experiencing a disease and/or adverse condition involving smooth muscle cell abnormalities wherein the disease is <u>arteriosclerosis</u>, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - III. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of monitoring whether an animal is experiencing a disease and/or adverse condition involving smooth muscle cell abnormalities wherein the disease is asthma, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - IV. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of monitoring whether an animal is experiencing a disease and/or adverse condition involving smooth muscle cell abnormalities wherein the disease is pregnancy related complications involving the uterus, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - V. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of monitoring whether an animal is experiencing a disease and/or adverse condition involving smooth muscle cell abnormalities wherein the disease is <u>cancer</u>, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - VI. Claim 14, drawn to a phosphorylated protein fragment, classified in class 530, subclass 350.

Art Unit: 1644

VII. Claims 15 and 16, drawn to an antibody and a kit for monitoring whether an animal is experiencing a disease and/or adverse condition involving smooth muscle cell abnormalities, classified in class 435, subclass 810.

- 2. Groups VI and VII are different products. Polypeptides and antibodies to the polypeptides differ with respect to their structures and physicochemical properties; therefore each product is patentably distinct.
- 3. Groups I-V are different methods. The methods of monitoring whether an animal is experiencing a disease and/or adverse condition involving smooth muscle cell abnormalities of Groups I-V will differ among tissue types. Monitoring pathology in various tissues will require tissue-specific ingredients, method steps and endpoints. Therefore, each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter.
- 3. Groups VI and I-V are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the protein of Group VI can be used for generation of monoclonal antibodies. The diseases and/ or adverse conditions of Groups I-V can be monitored by measuring plasma cytokine levels.

Art Unit: 1644

4. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired

a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent

subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field

of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various

methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes

as indicated is proper. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue

burden for the examiner to search more than one invention.

Species Election

5. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under

35 U.S.C 121:

(1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim

is finally held to be allowable; and

(2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

A. If any one of Groups I-V is elected, applicant is required to elect:

1. a single peptide species wherein the amino acid sequence is identified by SEQ ID

NO. 1 or SEQ ID NO. 2; and

2. a sample species from a single specimen source.

Art Unit: 1644

The peptide species of SEQ ID NOs 1 and 2 are distinct because they have different structures and physiochemical properties. The tissue sample species from transplanted organ, kidney, uterus, breast, lung, heart, liver and transplanted tissue are distinct because each tissue has a different structure, function and protein expression profile that gives the tissue its specific character.

B. If Group VI is elected, applicant is required to elect:

a single peptide fragment wherein the amino acid sequence is identified by SEQ
 NO. 1 or SEQ ID NO. 2.

The peptide species of SEQ ID NOs 1 and 2 are distinct because they have different structures and physiochemical properties

- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 7. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1644

8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,421

Art Unit: 1644

11. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 7

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nora M. Rooney whose telephone number is (571) 272-9937.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,421

Art Unit: 1644

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. A

message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571)

272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 16, 2006

Nora M. Rooney, M.S., J.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Milu M. Haoldad MAHER M. HADDAD PATENT EXAMINER Primary

Page 8